UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,450	04/27/2006	Sadamasa Fujii	AI 408NP	4769
23995 RABIN & Berd	7590 10/01/200 lo, PC	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH STI		TAYLOR, EARL N		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10,	577,450	FUJII ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		EAI	RL N. TAYLOR	2818				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will apport will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATIO In no event, however, may a reply be to ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 A <i>nril 2</i>	006					
•	•	2b)⊠ This actio						
3)		<i>′</i> —		osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo ariaar Ex pa	10 Quayro, 1000 O.B. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
-	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
			- ,	, ,	:ER 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
THE DATE OF DECISION IS Objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John FTO-192.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to a device.

Group II, claim(s) 8 and 9, drawn to a method of making a device.

The inventions listed as Groups II and I do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Miyamoto (JP 54-159173 cited on applicant submitted IDS dated 27 April 2006) teaches a substrate (10); a bump (20) of a first metal material provided on a surface of the substrate (10); a bonding film of a second metal material (25) provided on a top surface of the bump (20), the second metal material (25) having a lower melting point in an elemental state than an alloy of the first metal material and the second metal material; and a diffusion prevention film of a third metal material (22) provided between the top surface of the bump (20) and the bonding film (25) as covering at least part of the top surface of the bump (20), the third metal material (22) having a lower diffusion coefficient than the second metal material with respect to the first metal material (abstract).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2818

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

Application/Control Number: 10/577,450 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Telephone / Fax Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Earl N. Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-8894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,450 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Earl N. Taylor

/Steven Loke/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2818